



7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10  
11 JOSE ALBERTO LORENZO  
12 APARICIO, an individual,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15  
16 AMERIGAS PROPANE, INC. a  
17 Pennsylvania corporation; and DOES 1  
through 10, inclusive,

18 Defendants.

19 CASE NO.: 2:18-CV-07306-PSG-JEM

[The Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez]

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

DATE: December 16, 2019

TIME: 1:30 p.m.

CTRM.: 6A

Complaint Filed: August 20, 2018

Trial Date: January 21, 2020

---

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1        The Motion for Summary Judgment or, Alternatively, Summary Adjudication  
2 ("Motion") filed by Defendant AmeriGas Propane, Inc. ("Defendant") came on for hearing  
3 on December 16, 2019, at 1:30 p.m., the Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez presiding.

4        Having reviewed and considered the pleadings and evidence submitted in support of  
5 and in opposition to the Motion, and having reviewed and considered the arguments of  
6 counsel, and finding that there are no triable issues of material fact as to any of Plaintiff  
7 Jose Alberto Lorenzo Aparicio's ("Plaintiff" or "Aparicio") claims.

8        **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that Defendant's  
9 Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**. Aparicio failed to establish a genuine  
10 issue of material fact as to his causes of action for: (1) retaliation in violation of the FEHA;  
11 (2) retaliation in violation of Labor Code Section 1102.5; (3) retaliation in violation of  
12 Labor Code Section 6310; (4) wrongful termination in violation of Labor Code Section  
13 232.5(c); (5) wrongful termination in violation of public policy.

14

15        Plaintiff's claims in this action are therefore dismissed with prejudice, and judgment  
16 entered in favor of Defendant.

17

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18

19

20 Dated: 1/10/2020, 2019

  
PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28